Inter-laboratory calibration of Male's monitoring network: findings of first attempt



Kim Oanh N. T. EEM/SERD, AIT

Male' Declaration 5th Regional Stakeholders Meeting Colombo, August 19-20, 2008

Contents

 About the inter-lab calibration for Male' network

- Summary of the protocol
- Results of first attempt
- Recommendations for improvement

Why the inter-lab calibration?

- Data quality is the first concern in any monitoring program
- Consistency/harmonization should be reached for data compilation in a regional network involving different laboratories
- Inter-laboratory calibration is an important element of QA which is specified in Male' protocol

Objectives of inter-lab comparison

 To recognize the analytical precision and accuracy of the data by the participating laboratories (NIA)
 To provide an opportunity to improve data reliability/quality

Main Activities of Inter-lab Comparison

- Prepare reference samples
- Distribute the samples
- Design and deliver a QA program to participating labs
- Participating labs analyze sample following the standard operational procedure (Male's QA/QC)
- Data acquisition and data analysis
- Reports and follow-up

Implementation for Male' Network

Activity	Time			
Protocol preparation	April-Sept.07			
Artificial rainwater sample preparation	Nov. 07			
First attempt: Nov-2007 - March 2008				
Sent samples to laboratories	Nov. 07			
Data acquisition and handling	Nov. 07-March 08			
Data analysis	Feb.08-April08			
Final report with recommendations	May-June 08			
Dissemination	Regional meetings			
Second attempt	August 2008			

Protocol highlights

- Final protocol was sent to all NIAs in Nov. 2007 together with the samples
- Two concentration levels: high and low
- QA program has designed and distributed to NIA before the sample analysis
- A range containing analyte levels have been included in the final protocol and sent with samples

Concentration ranges in Male' artificial rain water samples

Parameter	Range	Parameter	Range
pН	4-6.5	Na ⁺	1 – 150 µmol/L
EC	0.2-10 mS/m	K +	1 – 50 µmol/L
SO ₄ ²⁻	1 – 100 µmol/L	Ca ²⁺	1 – 50 µmol/L
NO ₃ -	1 – 100 µmol/L	Mg ²⁺	1 – 50 µmol/L
Cl-	5 – 150 µmol/L	NH4+	1 – 100 µmol/L

Outline of artificial rainwater samples

Sample name	Amount of sample in a container	Bottle	Number of bottle per sample
No. M11 (high concentration)	Approximately 800 mL	Poly- propylene of 1 L	1 bottle for each sample
No. M12 (low concentration)		сарасну	

M11 and M12 contain known amount of reagents dissolved in de-ionized water

Sending-receiving samples

- Samples were sent to NRIs by fast delivery services
- Samples were sent in dry ice boxes
- Dates of sending samples recorded
- Laboratories were requested to note the dates and the conditions of samples as received and communicate immediately to UNEP and AIT at soon as the samples received
- if abnormal conditions of samples occurred when received the lab should notify UNEP/AIT so that measures to be taken

Data acquisition

- Data excel template was sent to laboratories by email and a hard copy with each sample box
- Laboratories were requested to analyze the samples as soon as possible and should be within 1 week
- NIAs were requested to send analytical results to UNEP and AIT by email and a hard copy to UNEP by fax within 7 days after the analysis completed
- Laboratories were requested to check the data quality and R1 and R2 before submitting
- AIT and UNEP follow up to get the data from NIAs

Sending and receiving dates

Date of sending samples (batch 1, main) to all countries: 13-Nov.07 Date of sending additional samples to Lab 4 & 6, March 08

1	Receiving		Date of measurement										
NIA	sample	рН	EC	SO4	NO3	CI	Na	к	Ca	Mg	NH4	data	
Lab no.1	15-Nov-07	19-Nov-07	19-Nov-07	na	na	19-Nov-07	21-Nov-07	21-Nov-07	20-Nov-07	2 <mark>0-Nov-07</mark>	27-Nov-07	7-Feb-08	
Lab no.2	21-Nov-07	nr	nr	na	nr	na	nr	nr	na	nr	na	7-Dec-07	
Lab no.3	15-Nov-07	1 <mark>6-Nov-07</mark>	16-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	17-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	19-Nov-07	19-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	20-Nov-07	13-Dec-07	
Lab no.4	13-Mar-08	9-Mar-08	9-Mar-08	15-Mar-08	10-Mar-08	12-Mar-08	13-Mar-08	13-Mar-08	16-Mar-08	16-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	25-Mar-08	
Lab no.5	15-Nov-07	26-Nov-07	26-Nov-07	na	na	8-Jan-08							
Lab no.6	9-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	12-Mar-08	12-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	13-Mar-08	13-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	11-Mar-08	12-Mar-08	20-Mar-08	
Lab no.8	15-Nov-07	14-Nov-07	14-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	16-Nov-07	17-Nov-07	nr	17-Nov-07	17-Nov-07	14-Nov-07	12-Dec-07	
Lab no.7	15-Nov-07	data sent afte	lata sent after knowing prepared concentrations										

Data Analysis by AIT

- Raw data: analytical results, operators info, equipment, detection limits, etc.
- Checking for completeness of the analytical data and the info
- Check the data and compare with criteria and flag if is out of the ranges
 - Ion balance: R1 (flagged I)
 - Calculated and measured conductivity: R2 (flagged C)

Data analysis (1)

- Compile data and perform statistical analysis:
 - Average, Max, Min, STD of data from all NIAs for each parameter
 - Estimate the bias: difference between the actual concentration and the results sent by each lab

Data analysis (2)

Flag the data points against the DQO:

- Flag "E" will be put to the data that exceed DQOs by a factor of 2 (between ±15% and ±30%)
- Flag "X" will be put to the data that exceed DQOs more than a factor of 2, i.e. beyond ±30% (<-30% or >30%)
- Analysis results for each sample, for individual parameter and based the circumstance of analysis in NIA labs

Summary of results: Sample M11, high concentration

NIA	рН	EC	SO4	NO3	CI	Na	K	Ca	Mg	NH4
Lab no.6	5.0±0.08	3.4±0.03	69.7±2.95	26.2±2.37	139.8±46.6	115.9±10.0	49.1±7.4	44.4±9.67	27.8±9.5	66.7±11.5
Lab no.5	4.11±0.06	3.53±0.15	no data							
Lab no.2	7.07	4.1	na	0	na	2.22	23.67	na	1.54	na
Lab no.3	4.46±0.03	3.7±0.0	44±3.86	8.1±0.0	172	77±17.4	33±0.59	40	64	29.3±0.23
Lab no.8	5.27±0.01	3.5±0	55±1	17.3±0.006	8.2±0.001	<mark>94.84±0.</mark> 01	36±1	33±1	22.2±0.55	25.5±0.1
Lab no.4	6.32±0.25	3.22±0.01	67.0 <mark>3±1</mark> .37	8.23±0.06	300±0	95±0	33.3±0	200±0	0	27.73±3.42
Lab no.1	5.08±0.02	4.51±0.02	na	na	118.44±1.8	33.42±0.3	36.38±0.18	36.33±0.81	35.49±0.6	69.57±0.49
Lab no.7	no data received in time									
Prepared 1	4.8	3.5	39.0	<mark>37.5</mark>	90.9	95.6	29.7	34.0	20.3	26.7

Problem of reporting Zero: Not detected?
Detection limit to be reported

Summary of results: sample M12, low concentration

NIA	рН	EC	SO4	NO3	CI	Na	K	Ca	Mg	NH4
Lab no.6	5.58±0.17	0.34±0.01	13.6±2.94	6.95±1.82	54.3±13.5	26±7.5	13.9±1.85	22.3±9.5	16.6±0.2	26.7±5.8
Lab no.5	5.73±0.05	0.35±0.01	no data	30.3		100		10 C		30
Lab no.2	7.07	0.4	na	0	na	1.99	1.94	na	0.10	na
Lab no.3	5.43±0.02	0.4±0.0	<40	<0.8	110	<30	<18	<40	<40	6.9
Lab no.8	6.5±0.0	0.4±0.0	2.9±0.1	1.58±0.006	5.6±0.1	7.4±0.1	2.63±0.01	3.52±0.01	2 ±0.1	<mark>2+</mark> 0.1
Lab no.4	6.00±0.12	0.55±0.01	17.37±0.8	0.2±0.1	100±0	4±0	0.8±0	<mark>41.67±2.89</mark>	0	19.1±1.28
Lab no.1	5.5±0.05	1.3±0.016	na	na	24±1.03	4.59±0.08	5.74±0.18	7±0.6	6.33±0.5	12.92±0.18
Lab no.7	no data receive	ed in time		10.70		10.70		3		
Prepared	5.8	0.37	3.1	2.0	9.3	5.2	2.0	2.1	1.6	3.0

Problem of reporting Zero: Not detected?

→ Detection limit to be reported

Data quality: flag data points



Summary of data quality for high conc



Summary of the data quality for low conc.

Data quality for different parameters

NIA	pН	EC	SO4 ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	CI	Na⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺
Lab no.1			NA	NA						
Lab no.2			NA		NA			NA		NA
Lab no.3										
Lab no.4										
Lab no.5			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Lab no.6	200									
Lab no.8										



Dotted mesh: flagged data (E or X) in either sample No.M11 or sample No.M12. Darked mesh: flagged (E or X) data of both sample No.M11 and No.M12

NA: not analyzed (no data)

Relative deviation between average submitted data and prepared value



Parameter-wise analysis

- pH and EC results are more accurate with lower bias
- Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ have 100% data points fagged for both samples
- For all ions the bias >500% is common
- Overall, bias for CI- is highest but the max. bias is for Ca²⁺





Summary remarks

- Strong bias for most of the parameters, especially for low concentration sample
 - Results of parameters requiring less sample treatment are more accurate
 - Large number of non-reported data
 - Reported zero values not providing detection limits
 - Low sensitivity of equipment in some labs
 - A few NRIs have enough results for R1 and R2 calculation, none submitted the R1 and R2

Recommendations for improvement

General:

- Strictly follow the Malé QA/QC Monitoring Protocol: standard operating procedures (management of apparatus, reagents, and procedure of operation)
- Consider using methods requiring less sample treatment
- Repeated analyses for precision estimate

Fundamental factors to improve data quality

- Properly clean the apparatus/glassware
- Use materials/reagents of required purity with low blank
- In house-expertise within each lab for sampling and analysis
- In-house expertise for the data quality check if samples are analyzed by other institutions
- A log book should be kept, etc.

Specific recommendations for sample analysis

- Use deionized water with conductivity <0.15mS/m for dilution of samples and cleaning glassware
- Use the standard reference materials to evaluate the measurement methods
- Pretreatment of samples, storage and analysis time: pH and EC measurement at 25°C and as soon as possible; other parameters to be analyzed within 1 week
- Calibrate analytical instrument, develop new calibration curves for new reagent bottles, etc.
- Data quality checking and control by NIA laboratory: discard obvious erroneous data, calculate precision, Calculate R1 and R2, etc.

It'd better to have no data than to have wrong data!

Thank you!